

## **Local Authority Report**

To

# The Schools Adjudicator

## From

# **Newcastle upon Tyne Local Authority**

### 30 June 2018

Report Cleared by (Name & Title): Ewen Weir, Director for People

Date submitted: 21 June 2018

By (Name & Title): Lorraine Griffin, Access, Admissions & Attendance Manager

Contact email address: lorraine.griffin@newcastle.gov.uk

Telephone number: 0191 211 5307

www.gov.uk/government/organisations/office-of-the-schools-adjudicator

Please email your completed report to: <u>osa.team@osa.gsi.gov.uk</u> by <u>30 June</u> <u>2018 and earlier if possible</u>

### Introduction

Section 88P of the School Standards and Framework Act 1998 (the Act) requires every local authority to make an annual report to the adjudicator. The Chief Adjudicator then includes a summary of these reports in her annual report to the Secretary for State for Education. The School Admissions Code (the Code) sets out the requirements for reports by local authorities in paragraph 6. Paragraph 3.23 specifies what must be included as a minimum in the report to the adjudicator and makes provision for the local authority to include any other issues. The report **must** be returned to the Office of the Schools Adjudicator by **30 June 2018**.

The report to the Secretary of State for 2017 highlighted that at the normal points of admission the main admissions rounds for entry to schools work well. The Chief Adjudicator expressed less confidence that the needs of children who need a place outside the normal admissions rounds were so well met. In order to test this concern, local authorities are therefore asked to differentiate their answers in this year's report between the main admissions round and in year admissions<sup>1</sup>. The order of this template for the annual report by local authorities reflects this.

# Information requested

## 1. Normal point of admission

#### A. Determined arrangements

i.	Please specify the date your local authority determined admissions in 2019 for its voluntary controlled and com state if this question is not applicable as there are no voluntary controlled.	munity schools. Please
	community schools in the local authority area.	18/12/2017
ii.	Please specify the date the determined arrangements f and community schools were published on the local aut not applicable.	•
		22/01/2018
iii.	What proportion of arrangements for own admission sc the local authority by 15 March?	hools was provided to
	□Not applicable □None □Minority ⊠Majority	□AII

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> By in year we mean admission at the start of any school year which is not a normal point of entry for the school concerned (for example at the beginning of Year 2 for a five to eleven primary school) and admission during the course of any school year.

iv. How many sets of admission arrangements of schools that are their own admission authority were queried directly by your local authority because they were considered not to comply with the Code?  v. If, when you considered arrangements for own admission authority schools for 2019, you had any concerns about Code compliance, please indicate which paragraphs of the Code you thought were mainly being breached.  Sections 1.13 and 1.8  vi. Further comment: please provide any comments on the determination of admission arrangements not covered above.  A number of schools published policies with incorrect dates for the coordinated scheme or for maintaining waiting lists.  B. Co-ordination  i. Provision of rankings: what proportion of own admission authority schools provided their rankings correctly undertaken by the agreed date?    Not applicable   None   Minority   Majority   All			Primary including middle deemed primary	Secondary including middle deemed secondary	All through		
for 2019, you had any concerns about Code compliance, please indicate which paragraphs of the Code you thought were mainly being breached.  Sections 1.13 and 1.8  vi. Further comment: please provide any comments on the determination of admission arrangements not covered above.  A number of schools published policies with incorrect dates for the coordinated scheme or for maintaining waiting lists.  B. Co-ordination  i. Provision of rankings: what proportion of own admission authority schools provided their rankings correctly undertaken by the agreed date?  Not applicable None Minority Majority All  How well did co-ordination of the main admissions round work?  ii. Reception x iii. Year 7 x x iv. Other relevant	admission arrange of schools that are own admission au were queried direc your local authorit because they wer considered not to	ements their thority ctly by y e	7	2	1		
admission arrangements not covered above.  A number of schools published policies with incorrect dates for the coordinated scheme or for maintaining waiting lists.  B. Co-ordination  i. Provision of rankings: what proportion of own admission authority schools provided their rankings correctly undertaken by the agreed date?  □Not applicable □None □Minority ☑Majority □All  How well did co- ordination of the main admissions round work?  ii. Reception x iii. Year 7 x iv. Other relevant x	for 2019, you had which paragraphs	any cond of the Co	erns about Code o	compliance, pleas	e indicate		
i. Provision of rankings: what proportion of own admission authority schools provided their rankings correctly undertaken by the agreed date?  □Not applicable □None □Minority ☑Majority □All  How well did co- ordination of the main admissions round work?  ii. Reception	admission arrange  A number of scho	ements no	ot covered above.  hed policies with in	ncorrect dates for			
How well did co- ordination of the main admissions round work?  ii. Reception iii. Year 7 iv. Other relevant  Not A large number of small problems or a major problem  well small problems or a small problems x small problems x x	i. Provision of rankings: what proportion of own admission authority schools						
ordination of the main admissions round work?  ii. Reception iii. Year 7 iv. Other relevant  well small problems or a major problem  small problems well small problems x  x  x	□Not applicable □None □Minority ⊠Majority □All						
ii. Reception x iii. Year 7 x iv. Other relevant x	ordination of the main admissions round		small problems or				
iii. Year 7 x iv. Other relevant x	ii. Reception			Х			
				Х			
v. Please give examples to illustrate your answer:	years of entry						

The deadline the LA gives to schools has built in contingency time, which has	
resulted in all schools submitting ranked lists in time for the process to run	
smoothly.	

C.	Looked after	and previou	usly look	ed after child	dren
i.			_	•	al authority area serve the of admission?
	□Not at all	□Not well	□Well	⊠Very well	☐ Not applicable
ii.			_		er local authority areas serve nal points of admission?
	□Not at all	□Not well	□Well	⊠Very well	☐ Not applicable
iii.			_	•	al authority area serve the rmal points of admission?
	□Not at all	□Not well	□Well	⊠Very well	☐ Not applicable
iv.	your answer, a All schools giv accordance w in a small nun	and provide  /e priority to rith the School hber of cases AC or PLAC	any sugg LAC and ol Admiss s that faitl without a	estions for im previously loo sions Code. H n schools give proven faith,	oked after children in lowever it remains an issue e priority to children of their meaning these children do
D.	Special educ	ational need	ds and di	sabilities	
i.	who have an	education he	alth and	care plan or a	d/or special educational needs a statement of special points of admission?
	□Not at all	□Not well	□Well	⊠Very well	□Not applicable
ii.		ive an educa	ition healt	th and care pl	d/or special educational needs lan or a statement of special ?
	□Not at all	□Not well	⊠Well	□Very well	□Not applicable

iii. Please give examples of good or poor practice or difficulties which support your answer, and provide any suggestions for improvement.

Children with EHCPs/statements are all admitted to named schools as a priority. Other children are admitted in line with the School Admissions Code.

## 2. In year admissions

**A. The number of in year admissions.** We are asking for two years' data for comparative purposes. If you do not have the data for the year 1/9/16 to 31/8/17 available, please still provide the data for 1/9/17 to 31/3/18.

i.	Primary aged children	Secondary aged children
Number of in year	985	412
admissions between		
1/9/17 and 31/3/18		
Number of in year	1870	768
admissions between		
1/9/16 and 31/8/17		
The reasons for children	Appoximately 50% new to	Appoximately 50% new to
seeking in year admission	the city; 50% school to	the city; 50% school to
will vary across the	school move	school move
country. What do you		
consider to be the main		
reasons in your area?		

ii. The Code requires the setting of a published admission number (PAN) for each normal year of entry. In the annual reports for 2017 several local authorities referred to problems in relation to in year admissions when schools which are their own admission authority refuse to admit applicants even if the year group concerned contains fewer children than the relevant PAN suggested could be accommodated. This was referred to sometimes as 'capping' in-year admissions and local authorities observed that it reduced the number of places available below that anticipated by the local authority. Please comment on your experience as a local authority.

This is not applicable in Newcastle as schools do not "cap" places.

#### B. Co-ordination of in year admissions

		oortion of community y delegate responsib	•	olled schools does the ssions?
a) Pri	mary:	□Not applicable	□None □Minority	$\square$ Majority $\boxtimes$ All
b) Se	condary:	□Not applicable	□None □Minority	□Majority ⊠ All

c) <i>i</i>	All-through:	∷ ⊠Not applicable	□None □Minority	□Majority □ All
	•		advantages and disace ar admissions (wher	•
1	_	Potentially faster tu quickly to fill vacanc	rnaround for applican ies as they arise.	its and schools can
Scho	ool Admissi	ions Code and outc	orkload in monitoring omes of applications. admission under dele	Issues have arisen
	•	oportion of own adro- o-ordinate in year ac	mission authority scho dmissions?	ools does the local
b)	Secondary	□Not applicable y: □Not applicable h: □Not applicable	□None ⊠Minority □None ⊠Minority ⊠None □minority	□Majority □ All □Majority □ All □Majority □ All
,	•		advantages and disac admissions (where ap	dvantages of the local oplicable)?
sar pai wit – tl pai Pa yea cer Ad cha cer adi	me way as rents as the hone single he best avarents to find rents will exars are admitain that all missions Callenge, whintrally. The ministrative ar administrative	normal age of entry by would only need to end admission service allable at that time. It is a school with an attachment and times and times are and times ode. As an LA we have not would not be reconstructed burden for popular ration, which approximation, which approximation, which approximation.	to make a single apple and each child would he current process of vailable place, especiencies across different cales for responses. Chorities are complying ave an additional layer ons to respond to direct schools. We offer a temporary of the contract of	dication, communicate d receive a single offer can be very lengthy for ially for sibling groups. It is difficult to be g with the School er of monitoring and ated in-year offers ectly can be an araded service for in-
	especially v	with most schools no	central co-ordination a ow having their own u nk waiting lists each ti	· ·

# C. Looked after children and previously looked after children

	i. How well do in year admission arrangements in your local authority area serve the interests of looked after children?				
	□Not at all	□Not well	□Well	⊠Very well	☐ Not applicable
		the in year ac erests of your			in other local authority areas
	□Not at all	□Not well	⊠Well	□Very well	☐ Not applicable
		o in year admi rests of previo		•	your local authority area en?
	□Not at all	□Not well	⊠Well	□Very well	☐ Not applicable
Vii.		e examples of er, and provide		•	or difficulties which support mprovement:
	previously lo always helpf faith schools without a pro	oked after chi ul. However it give priority t	Idren, rest remains o childrer aning the	spond to queri an issue in a n of their faith	rill prioritise LAC and ies knowledgeably, and are small number of cases that before LAC or PLAC o not always get offered a
-	be difficult to through the ' directly to so	contact by ph Virtual School hools, which o	none dire rather th can creat	ctly. In some I an to the cent e delays and	variable and some LAs can LAs, all LAC admissions go tral Admissions team or complications as it seems ication process.
,	We have had	d own admiss	ion autho	rities in other	areas refuse to admit LAC.
D.	Children wi	th disabilities	s and chi	ldren with sp	pecial educational needs
i.	needs who	have an educ	cation hea	alth and care p	nd/or special educational plan or a statement of special ney need to be admitted in
	□Not at all	□Not well	⊠Well	□Very well	☐ Not applicable
ii.	needs who	do not have a	an educat	ion health and	nd/or special educational d care plan or a statement of e admitted in year?
	□Not at all	□Not well	⊠Well	□Very well	☐ Not applicable

	<ul><li>iii. Please give examples of good or poor practice or difficulties which support your answer, and provide any suggestions for improvement:</li><li>No comments</li></ul>								
	E. Other children								
	i. How well served are other children when they need to be admitted in year?								
	□Not at all □Not well □Well □Very well □ Not applicable								
	ii. Paragraph 3.12 of the Code - several local authorities referred to paragraph 3.12 in their annual report for 2017 stating that this was being used "inappropriately" by some admission authorities. Please could you comment on your experience as a local authority:								
	Not an issue in Newcastle. It did take some time to agree the definition of "challenging behaviour" but both phases have finally adopted a high threshold of previously permanently excluded or attended a Pupil Referral Unit. This followed cases where schools had refused admission of children with social and emotional needs on the basis they might present challenges. It was decided that definitions which enabled schools to be subjective about whether they would admit a child were unfair and open to discrimination.								
3.	Fair Access Protocol								
	A. Has your Fair Access Protocol been agreed with the majority of state-funded mainstream schools in your area?								
	⊠Yes for primary □Yes for secondary								
	B. If you have not been able to tick both boxes above, please explain why:								
	Reviews of both protocols took place in the Autumn term 2017/18. To date, only the revised Primary FAP has been agreed. The revised secondary FAP remains as a draft so we are working to an earlier draft protocol that was agreed as a pilot in September 2016. Discussions to finalise the secondary FAP are ongoing and it is hoped for sign-off before the end of term.								
	C. How many children have been admitted or refused admission under the Fair Access Protocol to schools in your area between 31 March 2017 and 31 March 2018?								

Type of	Number of c	hildren admitted	Number of children refused admission		
School	Primary aged child	Secondary aged child	Primary aged children	Secondary aged children	
Community and voluntary controlled	1	11	0	0	
Own admission authority schools		56	0	0	
Total	1	67	0	0	

D.	If a number of children have not secured school places following the use of the protocol, please indicate what provision is made for these children.
	Not applicable

E.	How well do Access Proto	,	•	ce children are served by the Fair		
	□Not at all	□Not well	□Well	⊠Very well	□Not applicable	

F. Please explain your answer giving examples of good and poor practice, successes and difficulties as appropriate.

The review of the primary Fair Access Protocol has only recently been completed. It is early days and practice is still being embedded and reviewed, but seems to be working well. The system can be still be slow for some pupils to secure a school place, however the process does generate more definite outcomes giving the child the opportunity of a fresh start.

The secondary Fair Access Protocol has strengthened the working relationships between schools who are all represented at meetings by the Pastoral Leads. The transparency of the process has resulted in increased trust between schools and has led to an equitable system whereby hard to place children are distributed equally between schools.

#### 4. Directions

A. How many directions did the local authority make between 31 March 2017 and 31 March 2018 for children in the local authority area?

	Primary aged children (not looked after)	Primary aged looked after children	Secondary aged children (not looked after)	Secondary aged looked after children
Voluntary aided or foundation	0	1	0	0

B. Please add any comments on the authority's experiences of making directions.

Newcastle schools are proactive in accommodating LAC children, vulnerable children and excepted pupils wherever possible. The particular school was already over number in the particular year group otherwise the opposition would not have arisen.

C. How many directions did the local authority make between 31 March 2017 and 31 March 2018 for a maintained school in another local authority area to admit a looked after child?

For primary aged children	For secondary aged children		
0	0		

D. Please add any comments on the authority's experiences of making directions.

We did issue a formal notice of potential direction in respect of one secondary aged child in another LA but the case was resolved and a place agreed prior to issuing the formal direction notice.

As an authority we work well with other local authorities to try to ensure direction is not required.

ESFA to acader a child local at make to March	How many chewere admitted school as a resolution by the did the uthority petween 31 2017 and rch 2018?  How many chewere admitted school as a resolution by the local authority the ESFA between 31 March 2018?	requests were outstanding as at 31 March 2018?  to to ween 7
---	---	--

For primary aged children (not looked after)	0	0	0
For primary aged looked after children	0	0	0
For secondary aged children (not looked after)	0	0	0
For secondary aged looked after children	0	0	0

F. Please add any comments on the authority's experiences of requesting directions.

Not applicable.

G. Any other comments on the admission of children in year.

Half of all our in years are children moving between schools within the City. We are concerned about the impact this disruption has on many children's education but are powerless to manage or limit parents' ability to move their child if another school has a space. The right to apply at any time for any school can be abused by parents when problems arise and they are being challenged on issues such as behaviour or attendance in one school.

# 5. Pupil, service and early years pupil premiums (the premiums)

A. How many community or voluntary controlled schools in the local authority area will use a premium as an oversubscription criterion for admissions in 2019?	Primary including middle deemed primary	Secondary including middle deemed secondary	All through
Pupil premium	0	0	0
Service premium	0	0	0
Early years pupil premium	0	N/A	0
Total number of schools using at least one premium in their	0	0	0

oversubscription		
criteria		

B.		How many own admission authority schools in your area will use one of the premiums as an oversubscription criterion for 2019?	Total number of own admission authority schools using at least one of the premiums in their over subscription criteria for 2019
Primary including	Early years	0	
middle deemed	Pupil	1	1
primary	Service	1	
Secondary	Pupil	0	
including middle	Service	0	0
deemed secondary			
All through	Early years	0	
	Pupil	0	0
	Service	0	

C. Do you have any further comments on the use of premiums?

# 6. Electively home educated children

A. How many children were recorded as being electively home educated in the local authority area on 29 March 2018?

B. Any comments to make relating to admissions and children electively home educated?

Secondary schools have proposed through Fair Access that EHE children are placed back in their former schools. This is currently applied in the small number of cases where the LA is proposing to issue a School Attendance Order in respect of an EHE child and will be considered where the parent is reapplying of their own accord.

#### 7. Other matters

Are there any other matters that the local authority would like to raise that have not been covered by the questions above?

Certain LAs have a policy whereby all LAC admissions go through their Virtual School rather than normal admission routes. Staff here have found this to be a lengthier process in some cases.

## 8. Feedback on the Local Authority Report template

In previous years we have asked for feedback on the process of completing the template in the following November to inform what is asked in the following year. We are aware that it may be easier to provide feedback on providing information for the annual report at the time rather than later. We would therefore be grateful if you could provide any feedback on completing this report to inform our practice for 2019.

Simple to comple	ete.		

Thank you for completing this template.

Please return to Lisa Short at OSA.Team@osa.gsi.gov.uk by 30 June 2018